

**Colloque « Recherches récentes en philosophie analytique »
Salle 422, pavillon 2910 Édouard-Montpetit, Université de Montréal**

Horaire du colloque

8 mars 2019

- 13h30 – 13h35 Mot du FICSUM
- 13h35 – 14h45 Plénière : Sophie Horowitz (UMass Amherst), *Dumb and Dumber*
Résumé : Epistemologists often theorize about ideals of rationality. But humans are not ideally rational; far from it! What relevance do idealized notions have for us, since we can never hope to meet them? One possible answer to this challenge is that while we can't hope to *meet* rational ideals, we can *approximate* or *approach* them. This is then meant to justify ideal theorizing, since figuring out the ideals will *help* us get closer to them. In this paper I explore some possibilities for spelling out this type of response in detail. I argue that the approximation account is less obvious than it might appear, and that idealizing epistemologists may need to look elsewhere to explain the relevance of rational ideals.
- 15h – 15h50 Charles Côté-Bouchard (CRÉ/GRIN/Montréal), *Doxastic Partiality as a Symptom (And Not as a Requirement) of Friendship*
- 16h – 16h50 Marc-Kevin Daoust (Montréal), *In Praise of Epistemic Modesty*
- 17h15 – ... Cocktail (Bar Saint-Houblon) et dîner de conférence.

9 mars 2019

- 10h – 10h50 David Montminy (Montréal), *Sous-détermination et interprétation des données en climatologie*
- 11h – 11h50 Guillaume Soucy (UQAM), *Qu'est-ce qu'un constructiviste humien peut dire sur la question animale?*
- 12h – 12h50 Rémi Tison (Montréal), *Le caractère phénoménal d'un état mental détermine-t-il son contenu intentionnel?*
- 13h15 – ... Lunch de clôture

Pour tous les détails : <https://philevents.org/event/show/70142>